

Kirromycin and pulvomycin bind to different sites on the elongation-factor Tu from *E. coli*

A. Pingoud, W. Block, H. Wolf[†], R. Römer & C. Urbanke

Zentrum Biochemie, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover D-3000 Hannover 61

[†]Institut f. Mikrobiologie II, Universität Tübingen D-7400 Tübingen

The function of the prokaryotic elongation-factor Tu is regulated by guanosine nucleotides, which induce different conformations of the protein. These different conformational states can be assessed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy and their different activities by functional assays.

The antibiotics kirromycin and pulvomycin are known to interfere with the natural function of EF-Tu by altering the conformation of EF-Tu. Our ¹H-NMR experiments demonstrate that these alterations can in part be explained in such a manner, that kirromycin makes EF-Tu·GDP look more like EF-Tu·GTP {1} and that pulvomycin makes EF-Tu·GTP more EF-Tu·GDP like. This explains, why EF-Tu·GDP, which normally cannot bind aminoacyl-tRNAs, can do so in the presence of kirromycin {2} and *mutatis mutandis*, that EF-Tu·GTP, which normally interacts with aminoacyl-tRNAs does not in the presence of pulvomycin {3}. In the presence of both pulvomycin and kirromycin binding of aminoacyl-tRNAs to EF-Tu·GDP or EF-Tu·GTP is precluded, demonstrating that pulvomycin has a dominant effect over kirromycin with respect to this reaction. Kirromycin induces a strong GTPase activity in EF-Tu {2}, pulvomycin, however, only a weak one. Excess pulvomycin can suppress the strong GTPase activity induced by kirromycin, while excess kirromycin over pulvomycin leads to a moderate increase in the GTPase activity of EF-Tu.

These experiments are interpreted such that kirromycin and pulvomycin have different sites on EF-Tu, and that pulvomycin can replace kirromycin in its site; kirromycin, however, cannot replace pulvomycin in its respective site. We are currently carrying out circular dichroism measurements and analytical ultracentrifugation studies using a synthetic boundary cell to prove this model.

{1} R. Römer, W. Block, A. Pingoud & H. Wolf (1981) FEBS Lett. 126, 161-164

{2} G. Chinali, H. Wolf & A. Parmeggiani (1977) Eur.J.Biochem. 75, 55-65

{3} H. Wolf, D. Assmann & E. Fischer (1978) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 75, 5324-5328

This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.